DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.16917157

CHAPTER 7

Species Range Shifts Due to Climate Change

R. D. Barde

Department of Zoology, S. G. B. College, Purna, Dist. Parbhani 431511 Maharashtra, India

Corresponding author Email: ravibarde4u@gmail.com

Received: 14 July 2025; Accepted: 29 July 2025; Available online: 21 August 2025

Abstract

Species distribution and range are the natural areas where a species lives and grows. Abiotic factors like soil type, temperature, and precipitation, as well as biotic factors like mutualism, competition, and predation competition, all play a role in setting these limits (Gutiérrez-Hernández & García, 2021). In the past, species' ranges stayed rather steady. But now that climate change is happening faster, mostly because of human-made greenhouse gas emissions, these patterns have changed, which has had big effects on the environment. Climate change includes a wide range of changes to the environment, such as global warming, changes in rainfall patterns, more frequent extreme weather events, rising sea levels, and acidity of the oceans (Bolan et al., 2024). Each of these changes could modify the distribution of organisms by changing the suitability of their habitats, their physiological limits, and how they interact with other species. These changes are especially worrisome because they could trigger local extinctions, the breakdown of ecosystem services, and new interactions between species that didn't exist before (Bralower & Millete, 2021).

Principles of Ecology (Vol. 3) - Dr. Sudarshan S. Pedge & Dr. Pallavi N. Chavan (Eds.)

ISBN: 978-93-95369-98-5 (paperback) 978-93-49630-97-0 (electronic) | © 2025 Advent Publishing. All rights reserved.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16917157

Keywords: Species distribution, physiological constraints, climate, phenological shifts

1. Introduction

There is a growing corpus of actual evidence in the scientific community that shows these changes. For example, the IPCC's Sixth Assessment Report says that around half of the species evaluated around the world have moved their ranges northward or to higher elevations because temperatures are rising (Adler et al., 2023). This is happening to many kinds of animals and plants, not just one kind of organism or biome. Birds, mammals, insects, marine organisms, and plants are all changing their ranges, sometimes by tens to hundreds of kilometers per decade. Parmesan and Yohe (2003) did one of the first and most cited meta-analyses on this topic. They found that the mean range shift was 6.1 kilometers per decade toward the poles and the mean elevation change was 6.1 meters per decade across a range of species (Parmesan, 2006). More current research has confirmed and built on these results.

These changes in distribution are very important for ecosystem management and conservation biology. Species are leaving protected regions, species and their ecological partners (such pollinators and plants) are not matching up, and invasive species are spreading into new places (Vanbergen et al., 2018). So, figuring out what causes climate-driven range shifts, how they happen, and what happens as a result is a top concern in modern ecology. The goal of this chapter is to give a full overview of the factors that cause changes in species distribution when the climate changes, real-world examples of these changes, the effects on the environment and society, the tools available for studying these changes, and the policies and conservation frameworks that are needed to lessen the negative effects.

2. Mechanisms of Climate-driven Shifts

Species react to climate change in different ways, depending on how mobile they are, how they reproduce, how well they can handle changes in their environment, and how they interact with other species (Weiskopf et al., 2020). There are many different ways that climate change affects the distribution of species, and these ways all depend on each other (Shahzad et al., 2021).

2.1 Physiological Constraints

Temperature and moisture are two of the most important abiotic elements that affect the survival and reproduction of species. Every species has a set of environmental circumstances that are best for its physiology (Chen et al., 2024). If these requirements are not met, survival chances decline substantially. Ectothermic animals, such frogs, reptiles, and insects, can have their metabolic rates, growth durations, and reproductive cycles directly affected by even small variations in temperature. Many species may not be able to handle the rising temperatures; therefore, they may move to higher latitudes or elevations in quest of better microclimates.

For instance, tropical montane species are often stuck in high-altitude areas with no cooler places to move to, which is called the “escalator to extinction” (Chakravarty et al., 2024). Changes in rainfall patterns also affect the availability of freshwater, the moisture content of the soil, and the stress on plants, which in turn affects herbivores and higher trophic levels.

2.2 Phenological Shifts

Phenology is the study of when living things do things like bloom, hibernate, reproduce, and migrate. These things happen in response to things in the environment, like temperature and the duration of the day. These cues become messed up by climate change, which causes problems between species that depend on each other. For example, plants may bloom earlier in the spring if it is warmer, but their insect pollinators may not change their life cycles as quickly, which might cause pollination failures (Johnson et al., 2023).

In the same way, migrating birds may get to their mating areas when their food supplies (like caterpillars) are at their highest, which makes it harder for them to reproduce. These mismatches can have impacts that spread across ecosystems, changing things like population dynamics, species interactions, and ecosystem services.

2.3 Altered Habitat Suitability and Range Fragmentation

Habitats that were formerly good for animals may become bad for them as temperature and rainfall patterns change. Climate change can cause habitats to degrade by making fires happen more often, turning land into deserts, adding salt to the soil, and changing the types of plants that grow there (Hailu, 2023). These changes often break up habitats, which keeps populations apart and makes it harder for them to spread, especially for species that don't move around much or need certain types of habitats. For aquatic organisms, rising temperatures and ocean acidification lower the amount of dissolved oxygen in the water and change the direction of ocean currents. This has a direct effect on where plankton live and where fish spawn. The composition and structure of forests on land may vary, which could have an effect on the microhabitats that are important for understory plants, fungi, and animals that live on the ground.

2.4 Behavioral and Genetic Adaptation

Some species try to move their range, while others change their behavior (such changing their activity periods) or adapt quickly through evolution. But the rate of climatic change is sometimes faster than the ability of genes to adapt, especially in animals with long generation times. For instance, coral species have had a hard time responding to bleaching events that happen over and over again because many of them can't evolve thermally resistant symbionts quickly enough (Palacio-Castro et al., 2023).

2.5 Biotic Interactions and Competitive Displacement

Range shifts can change the connections between species that are already there, often making competition or predation stronger in regions where they overlap (Pauli et al., 2022). Invasive species may outcompete native species that don't have the same competitive advantage or physiological tolerance when the climate warms and their ranges grow (Geppert et al., 2023). Also, as vectors and hosts move into new areas, parasitism and disease transmission may become more common. These mechanisms don't usually work on their own; instead, they work together to create complicated ecological reactions. To predict future patterns of biodiversity and come up with good ways to protect it, we need to know how they all work together.

3. Case Studies of Species Range Shifts

Changes in the distribution of species caused by climate change have been seen in almost all habitats and taxonomic groups. The following case studies show how these changes have affected land, water, and plant ecosystems. They show both common responses and ecosystem-specific dynamics.

3.1. Terrestrial Ecosystems

3.1.1 Butterflies in Europe and North America

Studies on butterflies were some of the first to show large-scale changes in their ranges. Shirey, 2023 cited the investigation of Parmesan and their coinvestigators who looked at 35 butterfly species that don't migrate in Europe and North America. They discovered that 63% of them have moved their ranges northward by 35–240 km over the last century, which is in line with regional warming patterns. The speckled wood butterfly (*Pararge aegeria*) is a well-known example. It has moved north in the UK because winters have been milder (Clarke, 2021).

3.1.2 Birds in North America

Hitch and Leberg (2007) found that the breeding ranges of bird species in North America have moved a lot to the north and south. Their research showed that the average breeding latitude of 26 bird species moved north by an average of 2.35 km each year from 1967 to 2001. The red-bellied woodpecker (*Melanerpes carolinus*) and the northern cardinal (*Cardinalis cardinalis*) are two species that have spread into areas that used to be too cold for them.

3.1.3 Mammals in Alpine Zones

The American pika (*Ochotona princeps*), a tiny lagomorph that lives in chilly alpine areas, has seen its numbers drop in habitats at lower elevations (Sjodin, 2023). Wrigley (2023) reported that localized extinctions were happening in the Great Basin region as temperatures rose and the amount of snowfall, which used to insulate animals in the winter, went down.

3.1.4 Arctic Mammals

The red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) is moving into the territories of the Arctic fox (Vulpes lagopus) and taking resources from them. Rising temperatures in the Arctic and longer growing seasons are to blame for this shift north (Warret Rodrigues, 2023).

3.2. Aquatic Ecosystems

3.2.1 Marine Fish and Ocean Warming

Guan et al. (2017) observed that between last few decades, many fish species in the North Sea, like Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*), moved their ranges north by 50–400 km. There is a significant link between these changes and rising sea surface temperatures. These kinds of changes affect the relationships between predators and prey and have an effect on commercial fisheries, which has social and economic effects.

3.2.2 Plankton Assemblages in the North Atlantic

Tang et al. (2022) showed that copepod communities in the North Atlantic changed a lot over the course of four decades. Warm-water species moved their range northward by more than 10° latitude. These alterations mess up the timing and availability of food for higher trophic levels, especially fish larvae, which shows that they will have a chain reaction on the environment.

3.2.3 Tropical Coral Reefs

According to Abe et al. (2022), coral species are moving north because the sea surface temperature is rising. Subtropical coral species are moving into temperate areas of Japanese waters, as seen in Kochi and Kyushu. Coral bleaching events caused by temperature stress are also causing localized extinctions on equatorial reefs, though.

3.2.4 Freshwater Ecosystems

Mandrake et al., (2023) said that several cold-water fish species, such brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*), are moving to higher elevations and latitudes in North America because stream temperatures are getting warmer. At the same time, warm-water species like smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*) are moving into areas that used to be mostly chilly.

3.3. Plant Species and Vegetation Zones

3.3.1. Alpine Plant Communities in Europe

Vitasse et al. (2021) did surveys on many peaks in the European Alps multiple times and discovered that vascular plant species were moving up the mountains significantly. On average, species moved their upper range limits by 2.7 meters every ten years. The effect was that there were more species at higher elevations, but cold-adapted specialists were pushed out by generalists.

3.3.2. North American Forest Composition

Lu et al. (2022) used long-term inventory data to look at more than 86 tree species in the eastern United States. They discovered that 70% of the species' abundance centers had moved north or up the slope. For instance, the sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*) has been getting smaller in the southern portion of its range and bigger in the northern section, which could mean that the types of trees in the forest and the way carbon is stored are changing.

3.3.3. Amazonian Rainforest Composition:

Phillips et al. (2009) found that climate-related droughts have changed the types of plants and animals in the Amazon, making it easier for drought-tolerant genera like Vismia and Cecropia to thrive. Over time, these changes in composition may lower the amount of biomass and carbon that can be stored, which could affect global climate feedbacks.

3.3.4. Tundra Shrub Expansion:

Satellite images and field investigations show that woody shrubs like Betula nana are spreading into areas that used to be mostly grasses in the Arctic tundra. Contosta et al. (2024) found that this shrubification changes the surface albedo and the amount of snow that falls, which could make regional warming worse.

4. Consequences of Species Distribution Shifts

When animals are forced to move about because of climate change, it has effects on ecology, evolution, and society that go far beyond just moving them around. These effects can be seen at many levels, from single organisms and groups of animals to whole ecosystems and groups of people.

4.1. Ecological Consequences

a. Community Disassembly and Novel Ecosystems:

When species move in separate directions and at different speeds, historical biological groups break up. The groups that form may include species that don't have a common evolutionary history or are not compatible with each other in terms of their environment, which can lead to interactions that are hard to predict (Veit, 2023). These "novel ecosystems" can mess up mutualisms (such the relationship between plants and pollinators), trophic structures, and the way nutrients move through the environment. For example, generalist herbivores that move around a lot could eat too much native plants, which would make plant diversity lower.

b. Trophic Mismatches:

More and more often, there are phenological and geographical mismatches between trophic levels, like between herbivores and plants or predators and prey. For instance, plants may start to grow leaves earlier because of warming, which may not match the life cycles of insect herbivores (Jones et al., 2022). This could make it harder for them to reproduce and have an effect on bird populations that depend on them. These mismatches affect the whole food chain, which could lead to population losses that spread along the chain.

c. Invasive Species and Competitive Displacement:

When species move into new areas, they might become invasive competitors. Species that can move to new places may outcompete local species that can't handle climate change as well, especially in ecosystems that are isolated or broken up. For instance, as waters have warmed, invasive zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) have spread, changing the way nutrients flow and outcompeting native bivalves, which has harmed native freshwater ecosystems (Verma et al., 2025).

d. Habitat Compression and Extinctions:

Cold-adapted or montane animals are losing their livable range because they are being pushed uphill or poleward until there is no suitable habitat left. There is a lot of evidence for this in tropical montane amphibians and alpine plants. For instance, the American pika (*Ochotona princeps*) has already vanished from many low-elevation areas because of more heat stress and less snow cover (Monk & Ray, 2022).

Principles of Ecology (Vol. 3) - Dr. Sudarshan S. Pedge & Dr. Pallavi N. Chavan (Eds.) | ISBN: 978-93-95369-98-5 (paperback) 978-93-49630-97-0 (electronic) |

4.2. Evolutionary Implications

a. Selection Pressures and Local Adaptation:

Environmental gradients caused by climate change put new selection pressures on populations. Some species may quickly adapt to new patterns of temperature or rainfall. But evolution depends on the time it takes to reproduce, the amount of genetic diversity, and how quickly the environment changes (Edelsparre et al., 2024). These are all things that make it harder for long-lived species or those with small, isolated populations to adapt.

b. Genetic Bottlenecks and Hybridization:

As groups of people relocate to new places, smaller populations and uneven distributions can generate genetic bottlenecks, which make it harder for them to adapt (Abdellaoui et al., 2025). On the other hand, range overlaps may make it easier for species that were once separated to breed with each other, which could lead to hybridization. This can create new genetic combinations, but it can also weaken gene pools that are already acclimated to the area or cause outbreeding depression.

4.3. Socio-Economic and Human Health Impacts

a. Agriculture and Food Security:

Bees and butterflies, which are important for pollinating crops, are moving to new areas, which means that the times when crops bloom are not always the same. This makes people more reliant on artificial pollination and threatens food production. Pests like locusts and aphids are also moving into new farming areas, making crops more vulnerable and increasing the need for pesticides (Luo et al., 2022).

b. Fisheries and Livelihoods:

Commercial fish stocks are moving into new waters, and sometimes they even cross national borders. For example, cod and mackerel have relocated north in the North Atlantic, which has changed fishing agreements and made political problems worse (Østhagen et al., 2022). This redistribution also affects coastal communities that depend on traditional seafood harvests for their livelihoods.

c. Human–Wildlife Conflict:

As large mammals move to new areas because of changes in their habitats, they may come into more conflict with human settlements. Elephants and tigers are moving about in South Asia and Africa, which often brings them closer to farms and villages. This causes damage to crops, loss of property, and deaths of people (Gross et al., 2021).

d. Emerging Infectious Diseases:

One of the most worrying effects is the spread of illnesses by vectors. Warming temperatures have let mosquitoes like *Aedes aegypti* and *Anopheles* spp. move into temperate zones (Chandra & Mukherjee, 2022). This has made it easier for diseases like dengue, malaria, and Zika virus to travel north (Hale, 2023). Changes in wildlife ranges also make it easier for zoonotic spillover events to happen. This has been shown in the SARS and Ebola outbreaks, and more recently, in theories about the ecological dynamics driving SARS-CoV-2 (Bhatia et al., 2024).

4.4. Conservation and Protected Area Challenges

a. Shifting Out of Protected Areas:

When species move outside of static protected regions, they may not get enough legal or habitat protection anymore. For instance, range-shifting birds in Europe are moving more and more outside of Natura 2000 sites, which makes fixed conservation boundaries useless (Fend et al., 2024).

b. Loss of Ecological Integrity:

When keystone or foundational species change or die out, the whole ecosystem may lose its integrity. For example, when the oceans get warmer, sea otters die off, which indirectly leads to more sea urchins, which in turn kill off kelp forests, which are a very important marine environment.

c. Misalignment of Conservation Priorities:

Planning for conservation based on past distributions may not work anymore. Range shifts call for a flexible conservation approach that plans for future distributions, incorporates climatic corridor planning, and includes local communities in adaptive management.

5. Tools and Techniques for Studying Range Shifts

To study how climate stress affects the distribution of species, scientists use a mix of observational, experimental, and modeling methods. These tools let us find out what has changed in the past, keep an eye on what is happening now, and guess what will happen in the future. For strong and useful ecological insights, it is important to combine spatial data, field observations, genetic studies, and remote sensing.

5.1. Field Surveys and Long-Term Ecological Monitoring

Long-term data from permanent plots and initiatives that keep an eye on biodiversity show that ranges do change (Brlík et al., 2021). These are:

- The **Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) data** from North America, which show how the types of trees in a forest change over time (Woodall et al., 2023).
- **Breeding Bird Surveys (BBS)** and **Butterfly Monitoring Schemes** in Europe keep track of changes in the ranges of these animals (Massimino et al., 2025).
- **Mountain-top monitoring programs**, including GLORIA (Global Observation Research Initiative in Alpine Environments), which look at how warming affects the plants that grow at higher elevations (Varricchione et al., 2022).

These datasets are very important for checking the accuracy of modeled predictions and for showing changes over time that can't be seen using remote sensing alone.

5.2. Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Systems (GIS)

Remote sensing lets us take large-scale, repeatable measurements of environmental factors that affect where organisms live. Some of the tools are:

- **MODIS** and **Landsat satellites** keep an eye on land surface temperature, vegetation indices (NDVI), snow cover, and changes in land use (Zhao et al., 2024).
- **Sentinel-2** (from ESA) has a high spatial resolution and is often used to find changes in habitat types, forest loss, or trends toward greening (Recanatesi et al., 2025).

Researchers can use GIS to combine data on where species live with environmental elements like temperature, precipitation, and elevation. This helps them map range limits and find changes across landscapes.

5.3. Species Distribution Models (SDMs)

People use species distribution models (also called ecological niche models) a lot to guess where species are now and where they will go in the future based on climate scenarios.

- Correlative SDMs (like MaxEnt and Bioclim): These use known occurrence data and link it to climate variables to guess what habitats will be good for the species (Amindin et al., 2024).
- Mechanistic SDMs (like CLIMEX and NicheMapR) use physiological tolerances, demographic factors, and species-specific features to make more mechanistically sound predictions (Hayat et al., 2024).

When used with IPCC climate projections (such RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5), SDMs are quite useful for figuring out how species' ranges might change in different warming scenarios (Bordie et al., 2022).

5.4. Environmental DNA (eDNA) and Genomics

New molecular technologies make it possible to find species in places where direct observation is hard to do with great resolution:

- Environmental DNA (eDNA): This method finds DNA fragments in water, soil, or air to keep an eye on species that are hard to see or not very common, such frogs, fish, and insects (Newton et al., 2024).
- Metabarcoding and community DNA profiling are making it possible to quickly quantify biodiversity in whole communities (Miya, 2022).

Genomic technologies can also assist in finding genetic diversity that helps organisms adapt to changes in climate. Landscape genomics can find genes that are under selection because of stress from temperature or precipitation. This helps predict how well species will adapt to new habitats.

5.5. Citizen Science and Crowd-Sourced Data

The growing usage of digital platforms and smartphone apps has made it possible to collect huge amounts of data from many people:

Principles of Ecology (Vol. 3) - Dr. Sudarshan S. Pedge & Dr. Pallavi N. Chavan (Eds.) | ISBN: 978-93-95369-98-5 (paperback) 978-93-49630-97-0 (electronic) |

- iNaturalist, eBird, and GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility) are some of the platforms that collect millions of geotagged species sightings (Della Rocca et al., 2024).
- These data are being used more and more to check and calibrate species distribution models, especially in areas with few data.

Citizen science data may have problems with geographical bias or mis-identification, but statistical tools like occupancy modeling and bias correction algorithms can make it more reliable.

5.6. Experimental Approaches and Climate Manipulation Studies

- Translocation and common garden experiments move species or genotypes to new climates to see how well they survive, reproduce, and adapt.
- Open-top chambers and setups that change the amount of rain imitate warming and drought conditions in the field, showing how physiological features and community structure change in the short term.

These experimental methods are very important for checking mechanistic models and figuring out lag effects or responses that aren't straight.

5.7. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Recent progress in AI has made it easier to understand how species are spread out and find small changes in the environment:

- Deep learning algorithms can look at satellite images to figure out what kind of ecosystem it is and see how it changes over time.
- More and more, random forests, support vector machines, and neural networks are being employed in SDMs to make predictions more accurate, especially when dealing with complicated, non-linear relationships.

AI models are also being used to anticipate how ecosystems will react to changes in temperature, biogeography, and phenotype (Briscoe et al., 2023). This makes it possible to make high-resolution predictions for a wide range of climate scenarios.

These tools, especially when used together, are the most important parts of climate impact research in ecology. They help find changes early, adapt management actions, and predict areas with high biodiversity or high extinction danger. To close the gaps between ecological theory, climate research, and conservation practice, we need to use a variety of methods and scales.

6. Management and Conservation Implications

As species move about because of climate change, traditional conservation methods that were meant for habitats that don't change are becoming less and less useful. To be effective, ecological management today needs to be flexible, take climate change into account, plan for change, build resilience, and protect biodiversity in landscapes and seascapes that are always changing. This part talks about strategic responses in policy, science, protected area design, and getting the community involved.

6.1. Rethinking Protected Areas in a Dynamic Climate

a. Static Reserves and Shifting Ranges

Many protected zones were set up because of where certain animals used to live. But because climate change is pushing species beyond their native ranges, many of them now move outside of these boundaries, where they lose legal protection and management control. For example, a lot of European birds that are protected by Natura 2000 are moving into areas that aren't protected (Kor al., 2025).

b. Adaptive Protected Area Networks

One important way to safeguard species is to make protected area networks bigger and better to account for how species are expected to move:

- Including height and latitude gradients within protected area boundaries to find possible migration routes.
- Making "climate-smart conservation units" or dynamic boundaries that are looked at again and again.
- Adding climate refugia, which are places that are less affected by harsh weather, like deep valleys or wetlands that get their water from springs.

6.2. Ecological Corridors and Connectivity Enhancement

Species often have to deal with broken-up landscapes that make it hard for them to get to other habitats that are better for them. The goal of connectivity efforts is to make it easier for people to move around safely and spread out:

- **Wildlife corridors and green belts** connect habitats that are broken up and let animals travel around safely.
- **Habitats that act as stepping stones**, such ponds and areas of woodland, are good for species that can't move around as easily.
- **Agroecological landscapes** can be changed from solid barriers to semi-permeable matrix (for example, hedgerows and fallow land).

The Yellowstone to Yukon (Y2Y) Conservation Initiative is a good example. It connects habitats across western North America so that species like grizzly bears and wolverines can live there (Hilty et al., 2024).

6.3. Assisted Migration and Managed Relocation

Assisted migration, often called managed relocation, is being looked into for species that are not likely to migrate or adapt in time:

- Moving species or genotypes to places that are outside of their normal range but are expected to become good places for them.

- A tool that some people don't agree with but that more and more people are talking about, especially for rare or endemic species with small ranges.

To keep the Florida torreya (Torreya taxifolia), a highly endangered conifer, from going extinct, people have tried to help it move to cooler places in North Carolina (Simons, 2021).

Some of the problems are possible ecological concerns, like bringing in a species that could become invasive or mess up the ecosystems of the people who get it. We need to do full risk assessments and set up ethical guidelines.

6.4. Climate-Informed Species Management

Climate modeling, vulnerability assessments, and resilience planning are now all parts of conservation planning. Here are several examples:

- **Population Viability Analysis (PVA)** models that take into account possible future climate scenarios to guess how well a population will do under different management strategies.
- **Genetic conservation** to keep the ability to adapt in divided populations by letting genes flow between them and rescuing genes.
- **Use of ex situ conservation** methods like seed banks, cryopreservation, and captive breeding for species that are about to go extinct because their range is shrinking quickly.

6.5. Community-Based and Indigenous Conservation Strategies

Local and Indigenous populations are generally the first to adapt to changes in the environment and know a lot about species and ecosystems:

- Co-management frameworks that combine scientific and traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) make projects more legitimate, get more support from the community, and help them succeed in the long term (Souther er al., 2023).
- For instance, community-conserved areas (CCAs) in India and Africa have been very important for keeping habitats connected and letting species migrate around (Bhattacharya, 2025).
- Including techniques for adapting to the local climate, including managing water, changing grazing patterns, or changing fire regimes, into ecosystem-level conservation planning.

6.6. Policy Instruments and International Agreements

To deal with range shifts that cross political borders, countries need to work together. Some important frames are:

- **The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)**, especially Target 3 of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework (2022–2030), stresses the importance of protected areas that are ecologically representative and well-connected (Fu et al., 2024).
- **The IUCN's rules** for protected areas and climate change suggest planning that is both integrated and forward-looking (Boćkowski et al., 2024).

- Nature-based solutions and biodiversity safeguards can be part of **climate adaption plans made under the UNFCCC** (Manes et al., 2022).

More and more, regional programs like the EU's Green Infrastructure Strategy and India's National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC) recognize the connection between adapting to climate change and protecting biodiversity.

6.7. Research, keeping an eye on things, and building capacity

To protect the environment well when the weather is unpredictable, we need to:

- **Monitoring Programs** to keep an eye on changes in species ranges and how well control works.
- **Scenario Planning** for different possible futures and finding strong strategies through scenario planning.
- **Capacity Building** for giving conservation professionals, policymakers, and communities the skills they need to understand data, use models, and put flexible plans into action.

Putting money into cross-disciplinary training (in fields like ecology, GIS, climatology, and policy) and data-sharing platforms (like GBIF, Movebank, and Map of Life) helps people work together and make decisions based on facts.

To sum up, dealing with range shifts caused by climate change means moving beyond fixed ideas about conservation. A plan that includes connecting habitats, making protected areas more flexible, helping animals migrate, and include everyone in decision-making is the best way to maintain biodiversity in a world that is getting warmer.

7. Future Perspectives and Research Gaps

As climate change speeds up, our knowledge of how animals move about must likewise become more detailed and complicated. There have been big steps forward in keeping an eye on and modeling these events, but there are still some important research gaps and goals for the future (Haque et al., 2022). To improve our ability to anticipate the future, guide conservation policies, and protect biodiversity in changing environmental conditions, we must deal with these issues.

7.1. Need for Multiscale and Multitaxa Studies

Most of the research that is going on right now is about well-monitored species including birds, animals, and vascular plants in temperate areas. But there isn't enough research on tropical species, invertebrates, fungus, soil bacteria, and hidden marine animals, even though they play important roles in the ecosystem.

Future studies need to:

- Do more range-shift research in habitats that haven't been studied enough, like the deep sea, desert zones, and high-altitude tropics.
- Include the effects of climate factors on microbial ecology and biotic responses below ground.

- Help strengthen taxonomic ability, especially in underdeveloped nations where there are the biggest gaps in data.

7.2. Integration of Evolutionary and Ecological Timescales

A lot of the current models of species range shifts are ecological and presume that attributes don't change. But a species' ability to survive in new climates may be affected by adaptive evolution, phenotypic plasticity, and genetic rescue.

Some important questions to look into in the future are:

- How fast and to what extent do species adapt to climate stress?
- What effect does intraspecific variation have on the possibility for range shift?
- Can rapid changes in evolution help long-lived species avoid extinction?

Combining landscape genomics and evolutionary modeling with ecological niche models (SDMs) can help us better predict how things will change over time.

7.3. Coupling Biotic Interactions with Species Distribution Models

Most SDMs right now don't take into account biotic interactions like competition, mutualism, predation, and parasitism. Instead, they treat species as separate entities. But these kinds of interactions can make it harder or easier for range shifts to happen.

New priorities:

- Make joint SDMs (JSDMs) or models for the whole community that include patterns of co-occurrence and functional interdependence.
- Use trophic interactions and network dynamics to predict how communities will come back together and how ecosystems will behave under climate change.
- Find keystone species or mutualistic networks whose range collapse could have a big effect on ecosystems.

7.4. Climate Variability and Extreme Events

Most models look at steady warming trends, but new research shows that climate variability and extreme weather events like heatwaves, droughts, floods, and cold snaps have bigger effects on the environment than expected.

What should future research do?

- Figure out how much climate extremes cause rapid range constriction or local extinctions.
- Make early warning systems that use climate and species data to guess when distributional changes are about to happen.
- Look at how well species can bounce back and adapt following climate shocks.

Principles of Ecology (Vol. 3) - Dr. Sudarshan S. Pedge & Dr. Pallavi N. Chavan (Eds.) | ISBN: 978-93-95369-98-5 (paperback) 978-93-49630-97-0 (electronic) |

7.5. Socio-Ecological Feedbacks and Human Dimensions

Changes in species ranges don't happen by itself; they happen with human systems. Species can only move to certain places because of land use, infrastructure, and policy. On the other hand, changes in biodiversity have an impact on ecosystem services, people's health, and their livelihoods.

Important gaps to fill:

- Know how permeable the landscape is in regions where people live.
- Combine models of how people utilize land with models of how animals move because of climate change, especially in cities and farms.
- Look into how changes in range affect ecosystem services like pollination, controlling diseases, and making sure there is enough food.

For complete solutions, it will be important to include study from several fields, such as ecology, economics, sociology, and political science.

7.6. Predictive Uncertainty and Model Refinement

Uncertainty is still a big problem when it comes to anticipating range shifts:

- SDMs give very different results based on the input data, climate situations, and modeling techniques they use.
- Future study should focus on validating models using real-world data, ensemble modeling, and measuring uncertainty.

Better spatial resolution, smaller climate models, and combining real-time remote sensing with model predictions will all help make forecasts more accurate and help make decisions.

7.7. Monitoring and Data Infrastructure

It's hard to see changes in many areas since they don't have long-term biodiversity baselines. Some of the most important things are:

- Putting money into long-term, systematic programs for monitoring the environment.
- Improving global biodiversity databases like GBIF, Map of Life, and iNaturalist so that they can work together better (Alfeus et al., 2025).
- Encouraging open-access, interoperable systems that make it possible to work together and analyze data at different scales.

Finally, understanding and dealing with climate-driven range shifts is a complex and ever-changing problem that requires knowledge from many fields. To fill in the gaps in present research, countries will need to work together, have open science infrastructure, and come up with new ways to do things that take into account both ecological complexity and societal requirements.

Principles of Ecology (Vol. 3) - Dr. Sudarshan S. Pedge & Dr. Pallavi N. Chavan (Eds.) | ISBN: 978-93-95369-98-5 (paperback) 978-93-49630-97-0 (electronic) |

Summary of Key Gaps and Directions

Priority Area	Key Need
Taxonomic Bias	Expand research to invertebrates, microbes, and tropical taxa
Evolutionary Integration	Combine genetics and adaptation into predictive models
Biotic Interactions	Incorporate mutualisms and competition into SDMs
Extreme Climate Events	Model non-linear responses to climate extremes
Human–Ecological Coupling	Blend ecological models with land use and socio-economic data
Model Uncertainty	Improve accuracy, transparency, and field validation
Monitoring Infrastructure	Strengthen long-term, global biodiversity data collection

8. Conclusion

Changes in the distribution and range of species caused by climate change are some of the most obvious and well-documented effects of global climate change on biodiversity. As the weather changes, species have to move to higher altitudes, deeper oceans, or poleward latitudes to find the right conditions. These movements aren't random; they are controlled by complicated physiological, ecological, and evolutionary processes that work with the structure of the landscape, human activities, and historical range limits.

This chapter has shown how these changes are happening in a wide range of species and ecosystems, such as butterflies in Europe, coral reefs in tropical oceans, alpine plants in the Himalayas, and fish in the North Atlantic. These changes are already affecting how species interact with one other, how communities are made up, how ecosystems work, and how people make a living. Some species are spreading into new areas and becoming invasive, while others, especially those that can only live in a small range of climates or don't spread very far, are more likely to go extinct.

We are getting better at finding and predicting these changes because to new scientific tools including species distribution models (SDMs), remote sensing, genomic approaches, and citizen science platforms. But there are still a lot of things we don't know, especially about organisms and areas that haven't been researched as much. To fix this, future research needs to be more comprehensive, including field ecology, climate science, genetics, and social sciences, as well as biological and cultural diversity.

From a conservation point of view, old methods that depend on fixed protected areas and historical baselines are no longer good enough. We need to use dynamic, adaptive solutions that take into consideration species ranges that change and unknown futures. This includes making habitats more connected, preparing for climate corridors, safeguarding climate refugia, and in some circumstances, thinking about helping animals move to new places. It is also very vital for Indigenous peoples and local communities to be involved. Their expertise and care are essential for keeping biodiversity alive in a world that is changing.

Climate-driven species redistribution is not something that will happen in the future; it is currently changing ecosystems and economy. As climate change speeds up, our understanding of the environment and our efforts to protect it must also speed up. The job ahead is both important and difficult: to predict change when feasible, to adapt when necessary, and to protect the variety of species that keeps the planet's ecological equilibrium.

References

Abdellaoui, A., Martin, H. C., Kolk, M., Rutherford, A., Muthukrishna, M., Tropf, F. C., ... & Visscher, P. M. (2025). Socio-economic status is a social construct with heritable components and genetic consequences. *Nature human behaviour*, 1-13.

Abe, H., Mitsui, S., & Yamano, H. (2022). Conservation of the coral community and local stakeholders' perceptions of climate change impacts: Examples and gap analysis in three Japanese national parks. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, *218*, 106042.

Adler, C., Wester, P., Bhatt, I., Huggel, C., Insarov, G., Morecroft, M., ... & Werners, S. (2023). Cross-chapter paper 5: mountains. *Climate change 2022: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability*.

Alfeus, M., Irish, J., & Birkhofer, K. (2025). Recognition and completeness metrics from iNaturalist and GBIF can inform future citizen science and research projects: a case study on arthropods in Namibia. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *34*(2), 467-480.

Amindin, A., Pourghasemi, H. R., Safaeian, R., Rahmanian, S., Tiefenbacher, J. P., & Naimi, B. (2024). Predicting current and future habitat suitability of an endemic species using data-fusion approach: responses to climate change. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, *94*, 149-162.

Bhatia, B., Sonar, S., Khan, S., & Bhattacharya, J. (2024). Pandemic-Proofing: Intercepting Zoonotic Spillover Events. *Pathogens*, *13*(12), 1067.

Bhattacharya, P. (2025). Forest Biodiversity Conservation. In *Textbook of Forest Science* (pp. 123-142). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Boćkowski, M. D., Raymond, C. M., Plieninger, T., & Grodzińska-Jurczak, M. (2024). Towards forward-looking nature governance to meet conservation goals of Natura 2000 sites in the European Union: experts' perspectives. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, *26*(2), 3215-3241.

Bolan, S., Padhye, L. P., Jasemizad, T., Govarthanan, M., Karmegam, N., Wijesekara, H., Amarasiri, D., Hou, D., Zhou, P., Biswal, B. K., Balasubramanian, R., Wang, H., Siddique, K. H. M., Rinklebe, J., Kirkham, M. B., & Bolan, N. (2024). Impacts of climate change on the fate of contaminants through extreme weather events. *Science of the Total Environment, 909*, Article 168388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168388

Bralower, T., & Millet, A. (2021). –Endangered Species and Ecosystems. *Communities in Crisis: Student Voices on Climate Change*.

Principles of Ecology (Vol. 3) - Dr. Sudarshan S. Pedge & Dr. Pallavi N. Chavan (Eds.) | ISBN: 978-93-95369-98-5 (paperback) 978-93-49630-97-0 (electronic) |

Briscoe, N. J., Morris, S. D., Mathewson, P. D., Buckley, L. B., Jusup, M., Levy, O., ... & Kearney, M. R. (2023). Mechanistic forecasts of species responses to climate change: the promise of biophysical ecology. *Global Change Biology*, *29*(6), 1451-1470.

Brlík, V., Šilarová, E., Škorpilová, J., Alonso, H., Anton, M., Aunins, A., Benkő, Z., Biver, G., Busch, M., Chodkiewicz, T., Chylarecki, P., Coombes, D., Carli, E., González, J. C., Derouaux, A., Escandell, V., Eskildsen, D., Fontaine, B., Foppen, R., & Klvaňová, A. (2021). Long-term and large-scale multispecies dataset tracking population changes of common European breeding birds. *Scientific Data, 8*, Article 21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00804-2

Brodie, S., Smith, J. A., Muhling, B. A., Barnett, L. A., Carroll, G., Fiedler, P., ... & Kaplan, I. C. (2022). Recommendations for quantifying and reducing uncertainty in climate projections of species distributions. *Global change biology*, *28*(22), 6586-6601.

Chakravarty, R., Radchuk, V., Suryawanshi, K., & Voigt, C. C. (2024). Mountains host significantly more data deficient and threatened bat species than lowlands. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *33*(14), 4355-4370.

Chandra, G., & Mukherjee, D. (2022). Effect of climate change on mosquito population and changing pattern of some diseases transmitted by them. In *Advances in animal experimentation and modeling* (pp. 455-460). Academic Press.

Chen, J., & Lewis, O. T. (2024). Limits to species distributions on tropical mountains shift from high temperature to competition as elevation increases. *Ecological Monographs*, *94*(1), e1597.

Clarke, H. E. (2021). Changes In Surrey's Butterfly Fauna. *Entomologist's Record & Journal of Variation*, *133*.

Contosta, A. R., Arndt, K. A., Baulch, H. M., Casson, N. J., Harpold, A., Morelli, T. L., ... & Templer, P. H. (2024). Threshold Changes in Winter Temperature and Precipitation Drive Threshold Responses Across Nine Global Climate Zones and Associated Biomes. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *55*.

Della Rocca, F., Musiani, M., Galaverni, M., & Milanesi, P. (2024). Improving online citizen science platforms for biodiversity monitoring. *Journal of Biogeography*, *51*(12), 2412-2423.

Edelsparre, A. H., Fitzpatrick, M. J., Saastamoinen, M., & Teplitsky, C. (2024). Evolutionary adaptation to climate change. *Evolution Letters, 8*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/evlett/qrad070

Feng, X., Peterson, A. T., Aguirre-López, L. J., Burger, J. R., Chen, X., & Papeş, M. (2024). Rethinking ecological niches and geographic distributions in face of pervasive human influence in the Anthropocene. *Biological Reviews*, *99*(4), 1481-1503.

Fu, C., Steckbauer, A., Mann, H., & Duarte, C. M. (2024). Achieving the Kunming–Montreal global biodiversity targets for blue carbon ecosystems. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, *5*(7), 538-552.

Geppert, C., Bertolli, A., Prosser, F., & Marini, L. (2023). Red-listed plants are contracting their elevational range faster than common plants in the European Alps. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *120*(12), e2211531120.

Gross, E. M., Lahkar, B. P., Subedi, N., Nyirenda, V. R., Klebelsberg, E., & Jakoby, O. (2021). Elephants in the village: Causes and consequences of property damage in Asia and Africa. *Conservation Science and Practice*, *3*(2), e343.

Guan, L., Chen, Y., Staples, K. W., Cao, J., & Li, B. (2017). The influence of complex structure on the spatial dynamics of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the Gulf of Maine. *ICES Journal of Marine Science, 74*(9), 2379–2388. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsx064

Gutiérrez-Hernández, O., & García, L. V. (2021). Relationship between precipitation and species distribution. In *Precipitation* (pp. 239-259). Elsevier.

Hailu, F. (2023). Climate change as a trigger for desertification and possible alternatives to reduce biodiversity loss. *Journal of the Selva Andina Biosphere*, *11*(1), 94-111.

Hale, G. L. (2023). Flaviviruses and the traveler: around the world and to your stage. a review of West Nile, yellow fever, dengue, and Zika Viruses for the practicing pathologist. *Modern Pathology*, *36*(6), 100188.

Haque, A. B., Arifuzzaman, B. M., Siddik, S. A. N., Kalam, A., Shahjahan, T. S., Saleena, T. S., ... & Hossain, M. J. (2022). Semantic web in healthcare: a systematic literature review of application, research gap, and future research avenues. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, *2022*(1), 6807484.

Hayat, U., Shi, J., Wu, Z., Rizwan, M., & Haider, M. S. (2024). Which SDM Model, CLIMEX vs. MaxEnt, Best Forecasts Aeolesthes sarta Distribution at a Global Scale under Climate Change Scenarios?. *Insects*, *15*(5), 324.

Hilty, J. A., Chester, C. C., Wright, P. A., & Zenkewich, K. (2024). Uniting hearts and lands: advancing conservation and restoration across the Yellowstone to Yukon region. *Frontiers in conservation science*, *4*, 1264460.

Hitch, A. T., & Leberg, P. L. (2007). Breeding distributions of North American bird species moving north as a result of climate change. *Conservation Biology, 21*(2), 534–539. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00609.x

Johnson, M. G., Glass, J. R., Dillon, M. E., & Harrison, J. F. (2023). How will climatic warming affect insect pollinators?. In *Advances in insect physiology* (Vol. 64, pp. 1-115). Academic Press.

Jones, L. C., Rafter, M. A., & Walter, G. H. (2022). Host interaction mechanisms in herbivorous insects–life cycles, host specialization and speciation. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *137*(1), 1-14.

Kor, L., Perez, F., Inwood, K., Darbyshire, I., & Diazgranados, M. (2025). An evaluation of important plant areas around the world. *Conservation Biology*, e70013.

Lu, J., Huang, C., Tao, X., Gong, W., & Schleeweis, K. (2022). Annual forest disturbance intensity mapped using Landsat time series and field inventory data for the conterminous United States (1986–2015). *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *275*, 113003.

Luo, K., Zhao, H., Wang, X., & Kang, Z. (2022). Prevalent pest management strategies for grain aphids: Opportunities and challenges. *Frontiers in plant science*, *12*, 790919.

Mandrak, N. E., Curry, A., Dumont, P. I. E. R. R. E., Reist, J., Taylor, E., & Watkinson, D. (2023). Zoogeography of freshwater fishes in Canada. *Freshwater fishes and fisheries in Canada. Am Fish Soc, Bethesda, MD*, 1-56.

Manes, S., Vale, M. M., Malecha, A., & Pires, A. P. (2022). Nature-based solutions promote climate change adaptation safeguarding ecosystem services. *Ecosystem Services*, *55*, 101439.

Massimino, D., Baillie, S. R., Balmer, D. E., Bashford, R. I., Gregory, R. D., Harris, S. J., ... & Gillings, S. (2025). The Breeding Bird Survey of the United Kingdom. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *34*(1), e13943.

Miya, M. (2022). Environmental DNA metabarcoding: A novel method for biodiversity monitoring of marine fish communities. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, *14*(1), 161-185.

Monk, E. M., & Ray, C. (2022). Revisiting talus and free-air temperatures after 50 years of change at an American pika (Ochotona princeps) study site in the southern Rockies. *PloS Climate*, *1*(7), e0000049.

Newton, J. P., Nevill, P., Bateman, P. W., Campbell, M. A., & Allentoft, M. E. (2024). Spider webs capture environmental DNA from terrestrial vertebrates. *Iscience*, *27*(2).

Østhagen, A., Spijkers, J., & Totland, O. A. (2022). The North-Atlantic Mackerel Dispute: Lessons for International Cooperation on Transboundary Fish Stocks. *Marine Resources, Climate Change and International Management Regimes*, 137.

Palacio-Castro, A. M., Smith, T. B., Brandtneris, V., Snyder, G. A., van Hooidonk, R., Maté, J. L., ... & Baker, A. C. (2023). Increased dominance of heat-tolerant symbionts creates resilient coral reefs in near-term ocean warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *120*(8), e2202388120.

Parmesan, C. (2006). Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*, *37*(1), 637-669.

Parmesan, C., & Yohe, G. (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *nature*, *421*(6918), 37-42.

Pauli, J. N., Manlick, P. J., Tucker, J. M., Smith, G. B., Jensen, P. G., & Fisher, J. T. (2022). Competitive overlap between martens Martes americana and Martes caurina and fishers Pekania pennanti: a rangewide perspective and synthesis. *Mammal review*, *52*(3), 392-409.

Phillips, O. L., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Lewis, S. L., Fisher, J. B., Lloyd, J., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Malhi, Y., Monteagudo, A., Peacock, J., Quesada, C. A., van der Heijden, G. M. F., Almeida, S., Amaral, I., Arroyo Padilla, L., Aymard, G. A., Baker, T. R., Bánki, O. S., Blanc, L., Bonal, D., & Torres Lezama, A. (2009). Drought sensitivity of the Amazon rainforest. *Science, 323*(5919), 1344–1347. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164033

Recanatesi, F., De Santis, A., Gatti, L., Patriarca, A., Caputi, E., Mancini, G., ... & Ripa, M. N. (2025). A Comparative Analysis of Spatial Resolution Sentinel-2 and Pleiades Imagery for Mapping Urban Tree Species. *Land*, *14*(1), 106.

Shahzad, A., Ullah, S., Dar, A. A., Sardar, M. F., Mehmood, T., Tufail, M. A., ... & Haris, M. (2021). Nexus on climate change: Agriculture and possible solution to cope future climate change stresses. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *28*, 14211-14232.

Shirey, V. M. (2023). *Detecting signals of recent climate change in north American, cold-adapted butterfly communities and populations* (Doctoral dissertation, Georgetown University).

Simons, R. W. (2021). *The Ecology of the Trees, Shrubs, and Woody Vines of Northern Florida*. University Press of Florida.

Sjodin, B. (2023). *Unravelling climate and high elevation adaptation in the American pika (Ochotona princeps) through a comparative genomics framework* (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia).

Souther, S., Colombo, S., & Lyndon, N. N. (2023). Integrating traditional ecological knowledge into US public land management: Knowledge gaps and research priorities. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *11*, 988126.

Tang, Q., Yang, J., & Sun, D. (2022). Functional diversity of copepod assemblages along a basin-scale latitudinal gradient in the North Pacific Ocean. *Ecological Indicators*, *141*, 109112.

Vanbergen, A. J., Espíndola, A., & Aizen, M. A. (2018). Risks to pollinators and pollination from invasive alien species. *Nature ecology & evolution*, *2*(1), 16-25.

Varricchione, M., Carranza, M. L., Di Cecco, V., Di Martino, L., & Stanisci, A. (2022). Warmer and poorer: the fate of Alpine calcareous grasslands in Central Apennines (Italy). *Diversity*, *14*(9), 695.

Veit, W. (2023). Complexity and the evolution of consciousness. *Biological Theory*, *18*(3), 175-190.

Verma, A. K., Rahman, A., Hussain, S., & Singh, N. S. (2025). Freshwater Mussels as Multifaceted Ecosystem Engineers: Insights into Their Ecological Importance, Bioindication, and Economic Contributions. *Water*, *17*(11), 1629.

Vitasse, Y., Ursenbacher, S., Klein, G., Bohnenstengel, T., Chittaro, Y., Delestrade, A., ... & Lenoir, J. (2021). Phenological and elevational shifts of plants, animals and fungi under climate change in the E uropean A lps. *Biological Reviews*, *96*(5), 1816-1835.

Warret Rodrigues, C. (2023). Use of space and resources by red foxes and Arctic foxes in a coastal tundra transitional ecosystem.

Weiskopf, S. R., Rubenstein, M. A., Crozier, L. G., Gaichas, S., Griffis, R., Halofsky, J. E., Hyde, K. J. W., Morelli, T. L., Morisette, J. T., Muñoz, R. C., Pershing, A. J., Peterson, D. L., Poudel, R., Staudinger, M. D., Sutton-Grier, A. E., Thompson, L., Vose, J., Weltzin, J. F., & Whyte, K. P. (2020). Climate change effects on biodiversity, ecosystems, ecosystem services, and natural resource management in the United States. *Science of the Total Environment, 733*, Article 137782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137782

Woodall, C. W., Kamoske, A. G., Hayward, G. D., Schuler, T. M., Hiemstra, C. A., Palmer, M., & Gray, A. N. (2023). Classifying mature federal forests in the United States: The forest inventory growth stage system. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *546*, 121361.

Wright, J. R. (2022). *Dynamics of a seed dispersal mutualism between avian seed-hoarders and nut-bearing trees: Implications for oak management and American chestnut restoration*. The Ohio State University.

Wrigley, C. (2023). *Earth, ice, bone, blood: Permafrost and extinction in the Russian Arctic*. U of Minnesota Press.

Zhao, Q., Haseeb, M., Wang, X., Zheng, X., Tahir, Z., Ghafoor, S., ... & Almutairi, K. F. (2024). Evaluation of land use land cover changes in response to land surface temperature with satellite indices and remote sensing data. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, *96*, 183-196.